

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

ALLAH,

Petitioner,

v.

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Respondent.

CASE NO. MC20-80 MJP

ORDER DENYING PROPOSED
PETITION

This matter comes before the Court on Petitioner's Proposed § 2254 Petition for Habeas Corpus (Dkt. No. 16). Having reviewed the Petition, and all supporting materials, the Court DENIES it.

The Court has imposed a Bar Order on Petitioner, Allah, which requires, among others, the following pre-suit conditions:

(1) Petitioner Allah, also known as Edwin Randal Coston, Allah©, Allah© NFN, and I

Power Allah is prohibited from filing any civil action in the Western District of

Washington unless the complaint or petition is accompanied by a signed affidavit stating

1 under penalty of perjury that the complaint contains new allegations not previously
2 litigated. Allah may not proceed in forma pauperis in any § 1983 or Bivens action
3 without a showing that he is in imminent danger of serious bodily injury or death. Any
4 complaint or petition filed by Allah that is not accompanied by a signed affidavit and/or
5 an imminent danger showing will be filed in No. MC20-80-MJP, but no action will be
6 taken on the document and no case will be opened.

7 (2) Any habeas petition that is not accompanied by the full filing fee or a completed
8 application to proceed in forma pauperis that includes consent to withdraw funds from
9 Allah's prison account on a schedule pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, will be filed in No.
10 MC20-80-MJP, but no action will be taken on the document and no case will be opened.

11 (3) Any habeas petition that is accompanied by the full filing fee or a completed
12 application to proceed in forma pauperis will be docketed in No. MC20-80-MJP and
13 reviewed by the Court under the requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), who will
14 determine whether the case may proceed.

15 (4) Any other document that appears to be a civil action and that is accompanied by the
16 full filing fee will be docketed in No. MC20-80-MJP and reviewed by a judge of this
17 court, who will determine whether the case may proceed.

18 (Bar Order at 2-3 (Dkt. No. 1).)

19 Petitioner's Petition does not satisfy the Bar Order's requirements or advance any
20 argument as to why the Court should remove the Bar Order. Notably, the Petition does not
21 contain a signed affidavit stating under penalty of perjury that the petition contains new
22 allegations not previously litigated. The Court therefore DENIES the Petition. This case shall
23 remain closed.
24

1 The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to Petitioner and all counsel.

2 Dated September 26, 2023.

3 

4 Marsha J. Pechman
5 United States Senior District Judge
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24